

Lehane, Paul

From: Cope [glsm@talktalk.net]

Sent: 16 August 2012 19:44

To: Lehane, Paul

Subject: Gambling Consultation, Chislehurst Methodist Church

Thanks for your letter (13 Aug '12).

The Methodist Church does not support gambling, we feel therefore we are not qualified to assist by responding.

You may like to remove our name from your mailing list for future similar letters.

GL Cope

Property Steward.

Lehane, Paul

From: Pmarti8359 [pmarti8359@aol.com]
Sent: 29 August 2012 20:56
To: Lehane, Paul
Subject: Revised Statement of Gambling Policy

Dear Sir

Having looked at Bromley's Revised Statement Of Gambling Policy 2013 to 2016 I cannot find anything in there to do with costs and in particular the costs of a premises licence. If I have got it right then Bromley Council has put up the cost of an annual Premises Licence for a betting shop from £300 to £600 in just a few years. I would imagine that this 100% increase is because of Council policy rather than a 100% increase in costs and therefore should be included in any policy review.

I really don't want to cause too much trouble but I would appreciate your view on this as depending on the answer i may have a follow up question or at least a comment to make before the consultation period is over on 7th September

Many Thanks

Peter Martinelli

College Racing (Beckenham) Limited

Lehane, Paul

From: john curtis [john.curtis23@ntlworld.com]
Sent: 10 September 2012 23:07
To: Lehane, Paul
Subject: LBB's Licensing Policy Consultation

Dear Mr Lehane

Bromley Arts Council has no comments to make upon your policy proposals.

Best regards

John Curtis
Secretary, Bromley Arts Council

~~John Curtis can be contacted at 41 Pine Avenue, West Wickham, BR4 0LN.
Telephone: 020 8777 5973. Fax is currently not available.~~

Lehane, Paul

From: Russell Mellor [russell@russellmellor.co.uk]
Sent: 09 September 2012 12:29
To: Lehane, Paul
Cc: Owen, Tony, Cllr
Subject: draft gambling statement

Good morning Paul,

Following my telephone call to the office on Friday I comment on the draft Gambling policy as follows:-

Part A –General.

The operator licence allows the holder to operate at any premises, presumably the licence can also be called in and cancelled if appropriate?

Children – I will note further observations later.

The statement must be reviewed every three years, also “time to time” no period of time stated, what is our local definition and the grounds of such a “time to time” review.

4. Licensing Authority Delegation under the Gambling Act.

I presume that a Gambling application will be within the remit of existing Licensing Sub-Committee structure? Not a separate meeting.

6. Interested Parties.

Business interests – personal or prejudicial?

9 Licensing Authority functions.

Grant permits for the use of certain lower gaming machines etc. – no definition what constitutes “lower gaming machines”. Also gaming machines is not defined.

I note we will not have the authority of remote gambling – internet.

Part B Premises Licenses.

“Moral objections or unmet demand” confusing “unmet demand” my interpretation of this term is scope for growth or expansion of a market, is the phrase contradictory?

Multiple use buildings – not impossible but difficult to monitor.

Licensing objectives “suitability of an applicant etc” if by having to refer to the Commission we do not have the power to refuse a licence or revoke one?

A view confirmed in the last paragraph?

Page 13 – refers “to category C or above machines” no schedule of machine types as also type D and B, also no reference as to the minimum or maximum of the level of bet.

Children, - no age statement although adult is defined as of being 18 years so presumably Children is under 18 Years.

Bingo premises.

"if Children are allowed" why allow children into gambling premises at all, for to do so they are exposed to gambling and the subsequent dangers. I would prefer No Children allowed!

Part C

Third bullet point – "staff are trained" would it not be advisable to have the training manual submitted with the application as evidence that such a programme exists?

"Maximum stakes" – obviously set by the operator but should we not have a guide as to the operators intentions?

Regards

Russell Mellor Cllr
Vice-Chairman G P & L Committee